FILED

2007 NOV -7 PM 1:57

REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

PATRICIA M. O'TOOLE, State Bar No. 107192 THE O'TOOLE LAW FIRM

<sup>2</sup> P. O. Box 352348

Los Angeles, California 90035-0260

Telephone: (213) 630-4200 Facsimile: (213) 683-1148 Attorney for Respondent U.S. POLE COMPANY, INC.

6

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

2627

28

BEFORE THE
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX

In the Matter of:

U.S. POLE COMPANY, INC.,

Respondent

Docket No. CAA-09-2007-0031

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND REQUEST OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING; DECLARATION OF PATRICIA M. O'TOOLE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Respondent U.S. Pole Company, Inc. will move the Regional Judicial Officer of the United States Environmental Protection Agency – Region IX to enter an Order extending the time for Respondent (i) to answer the complaint filed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency – Region IX ("EPA-IX") in this matter and (ii) to request a hearing, for 60 days until January 7, 2008.

This Motion is brought pursuant to 40 CFR §22.7(b), governing motions concerning extensions of time, and is timely thereunder as the Complaint in this matter was served on October 9, 2007, and Respondent's answer and request for hearing is due on November 8, 2007.

Good cause exists for granting the requested extension of time in this case because (1) EPA-IX and Respondent have been engaged in settlement discussions since the service of the Complaint; (2) on November 6, 2007, EPA-IX and Respondent reached an agreement in principle on the terms of a settlement of all allegations set forth in the

Complaint; (3) the parties will require approximately 60 days to finalize the details of the settlement and to document it properly; and (4) requiring Respondent to prepare and file an answer and request for hearing by November 8, 2007 would, under these circumstances, impose an unnecessary burden on Respondent and require an unnecessary allocation of resources for both Respondent and EPA-IX to prepare for a hearing that is unlikely to occur.

Therefore, Respondent is moving for an extension of 60 days, until January 7, 2007, to file an answer and request a hearing in this matter.

On November 6, 2007, Respondent's counsel contacted counsel for EPA-IX, Mr. Daniel Reich, to discuss this Motion, and Mr. Reich confirmed that EPA-IX does not oppose Respondent's motion for a 60-day extension of time to answer the Complaint and request a hearing.

This Motion is based on this Notice, the attached Declaration of Patricia M.

O'Toole, all pleadings and papers on file in this action, and on such further evidence and arguments as may be presented in any hearing on this Motion.

November 6, 2007

THE O'TOOLE LAW FIRM

BY:

Attorney for Respondent U.S. Pole Company, Inc.

## DECLARATION OF PATRICIA M. O'TOOLE

I am an attorney admitted to practice before all the Courts of the State of

California, the United States District Court for the Central District of California, and

2

3

1

I, Patricia M. O'Toole declare:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

1718

19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and I am the attorney representing the Respondent, U.S. Pole Company, Inc. ("Respondent"), in this matter. I am familiar with the following facts from my personal observations and experience and, if called as a witness, I would and could testify as follows:

2. The United States Environmental Protection Agency – Region IX ("EPA-IX")

- served a Complaint in this matter on U.S. Pole on October 9, 2007.
- EPA-IX and Respondent have been engaged in settlement discussions since the service of the Complaint.
- 4. On November 6, 2007, EPA-IX and Respondent reached an agreement in principle on the terms of a settlement of all allegations set forth in the Complaint.
- 5. The parties will require approximately 60 days to finalize the details of the settlement and to document it properly.
- 6. Requiring Respondent to prepare and file an answer and request for hearing by November 8, 2007 would, under these circumstances, impose an unnecessary burden on Respondent and require an unnecessary allocation of resources for both Respondent and EPA-IX to prepare for a hearing that is unlikely to occur.
- 7. On November 6, 2007, I contacted counsel for EPA-IX, Mr. Daniel Reich, to discuss this Motion, and Mr. Reich confirmed that EPA-IX does not oppose Respondent's motion for a 60-day extension of time to answer the Complaint and request a hearing.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 6th day of November 2007 at Los Angeles, California.

Patricia M. O'Toole

## PROOF OF SERVICE

## United States Environmental Protection Agency Region IX

## IN RE U.S. POLE COMPANY, INC. Docket No. CAA-09-2007-0031

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and am not a party to the within action. My business address is The O'Toole Law Firm, P. O. Box 352348, Los Angeles, California 90035-0260.

On November 6, 2007, I served the foregoing documents described as **Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Time to Answer Complaint and Request Opportunity for Hearing; Declaration of Patricia M. O'Toole** on the following parties and interested persons at the following addresses:

Daniel Reich, Esq.
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street, ORC-2
San Francisco, CA 94105

BY OVERNIGHT COURIER: I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope and deposited such envelope with Federal Express at Los Angeles, California, with delivery charges thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 6, 2007 at Los Angeles, California.

Patricia M. O'Toole

SIGNATURE: Saturing M. O Toole